

# Charter Challenge Factum Feedback

Law Firm Name: \_\_\_\_\_

School (if known): \_\_\_\_\_

Please circle:                      Appellant                      Respondent

Criteria	Please circle rating (with 1 being the worst and 10 being the best)
<p><b>Strength of Argument</b> Legal arguments are thoughtful, well-structured, and supported by strong legal research. A clear and complete analysis of the issues.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10</p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p><b>Understanding of the Law</b> Excellent understanding of the <i>Charter</i>, related legislation, and precedents. Appropriate use of legal terminology and application of legal concepts.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10</p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p><b>Critical Thinking &amp; Analysis</b> Arguments clearly display elements of critical thinking, including reasoning, interpretation, and strong analysis of <i>Charter</i> grounds.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10</p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p><b>Legal Citation</b> Proper format for citing the <i>Charter</i>, the trial judgment, precedent cases, relevant legislation, and other additional resources.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10</p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p><b>Creativity &amp; Originality</b> Arguments demonstrate original thought. Ideas are creative and insightful.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10</p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p><b>Writing Style &amp; Language Use</b> Effective writing style with appropriate use of tone and vocabulary. Spelling, punctuation, and grammar are correct and consistent.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10</p> <p>Comments:</p>